SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08) Case 2:13-cv-05109-BMS/IPOCUMPRT SFIED 98/30/13 Page 1 of 13

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provide by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)			1				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFEN	DEFENDANTS					
.AUREN BOWNDS		NCO F	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.					
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff	County of	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	ress)	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE					
Craig Thor Kimmel, E			LAND INVOLVED.					
Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike	P.C.	Attorneys (II	Attorneys (If Known)					
Ambler, PA 19002								
(215) 540-8888	NCTION	B						
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	(For Diversity	IIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES Cases Only)	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)				
U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	PTF DEF 1 Incorporated or 1 of Business In Ti	Principal Place				
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another S						
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Another State				
		Citizen or Subject of Foreign Country	a	□ 6 □ 6				
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/F	DENIAL TWO DANKS DESCRIPTIONS					
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		PENALTY BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment				
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury - □ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice		Drug 423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking				
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 2	USC 881	☐ 450 Commerce				
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 368 Asbestos Personal			☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and				
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit				
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERT	ΓY Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV				
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/				
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	☐ 710 Fair Labor Sta Act	andards ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge				
□ 190 Other Contract□ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 385 Property Damage	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt.	Relations ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410				
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.l & Disclosure		□ 890 Other Statutory Actions□ 891 Agricultural Acts				
REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		r Act FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters				
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence	□ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc	c. or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act				
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: Accommodations ☐ 530 General	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act				
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe	IMMIGRAT □ 462 Naturalization	ION	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determinatio				
Control of the Contr	Employment □ 550 Civil Rights □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - □ 555 Prison Condition	☐ 463 Habeas Corpu	S -	Under Equal Access to Justice				
	Other	Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigra	*	 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 				
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Actions						
	an "X" in One Box Only)			Appeal to District				
	ate Court Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or ☐ Reopened	5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidist Litigation	n Magistrate				
VI CAUSE OF ACTIV	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are	e filing (Do not cite ju	risdictional statutes unless diversity):					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Fair Debt Collection Practices Act	t						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	rif demanded in complaint: : Ø Yes □ No				
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOGNET MIN (Den					
P. J	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER _					
Explanation:			J.					
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY OF RE	CORP					
08/20/2013								

Case 2:13-cv-05109-ENISEID STATES PISTRICT 08930R13 Page 2 of 13

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 400 Senator Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95833 Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA 19044 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ NoX Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? N_0 Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Date Terminated: Judge Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated $Yes\square$ 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? No🏻 CIVIL: (Place / in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. □ FELA 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 3.

Jones Act-Personal Injury 3.

Assault, Defamation 4. □ Antitrust 4. D Marine Personal Injury 5. D Patent 5.

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. D Civil Rights 7. □ Products Liability 8.

Habeas Corpus 8.

Products Liability — Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. M All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) I, Craiq Thor Kimmel _, counsel of record do hereby certify: ☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: 08/29/2013 57100 Craiq Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. DATE: 08/29/2013 Craig Thor Kimmel 57100 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#

CIV, 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

LAUREN BOWNDS	CIVIL ACTION						
v.	:						
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.	: : NO.						
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.							
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CAS	E MANAGEMENT TRACKS:						
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U	J.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
o) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designate	ed for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (x)						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for person exposure to asbestos.	onal injury or property damage from						

08/29/2013 Date	Craig Thor Kimmel	Lauren Bownds				
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for				
215-540-8888	877-788-2864	kimmel@creditlaw.com				

()

Telephone FAX Number E-Mail Address

(e) Special Management - Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are

(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special

(Civ. 660) 10/02

management cases.)

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 4 LAUREN BOWNDS, 5 Plaintiff 6 Case No.: V. 7 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 8 **JURY TRIAL** 9 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 10 11 **COMPLAINT** 12 LAUREN BOWNDS ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL 13 & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL 14 15 SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"): 16 INTRODUCTION 17 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices 18 Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 19 20 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 21 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 22 which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate 23 United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 25

U.S.C. §	1331	grants	this	court	original	jurisdiction	of	all	civil	actions	arising
under the	e laws (of the U	Jnite	d State	s.						

- 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters and conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Sacramento, California 95833.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and attempted to collect that debt from Plaintiff.
 - 11. Defendant collects, and attempts to collect, debts incurred, or alleged

4

5 6

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the U.S. Mail, telephone and/or internet.

- The debt Defendant was seeking to collect, an AES private student 12. loan, was incurred for a personal, family, and/or household purposes.
- Beginning in April 2013, and continuing through June 2013, 13. Defendant continuously contacted Plaintiff on her cellular telephone seeking and demanding payment of an alleged debt.
- 14. Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone, on average, at least once a day.
- 15. In those instances when Plaintiff spoke with Defendant, its collectors threatened to garnish her wages if she did not make payment on the debt.
- 16. Further, Defendant advised Plaintiff to "Google what could happen" if she did not pay the debt.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant could not legally take and/or did not intend to take such actions at that the time that it made the threats, as evidenced, in part, by Defendant not having taken any of the threatened actions against Plaintiff more than three (3) months later.
- 18. Rather, Defendant's collectors made threats with the intent to abuse. annoy, intimidate and scare Plaintiff, believing that she would pay the alleged debt rather than have her wages garnished and/or legal action to be taken.

- 19. Further, in another conversation, Defendant deceptively identified itself as "National Collegiate Trust," and then later advised that the collector was calling from "NCO Financial Systems."
- 20. Additionally, in its attempts to collect a debt, Defendant obtained Plaintiff's mother's credit report and disclosed her mother's credit score to her. Further, Defendant claimed that Plaintiff's non-payment of the alleged debt would further ruin her mother's credit score.
- 21. Also, Defendant told Plaintiff that her mother had a bankruptcy on her credit report, which had no purpose other than to shame and embarrass Plaintiff.
- 22. Also, Defendant claimed that Plaintiff owed more than \$29,000.00, when the actual amount of the alleged debt was \$13,000.00. Upon information and belief, the amount Defendant was seeking to collect included additional fees and charges which were not authorized by the agreement creating the debt.
- 23. Lastly, in its attempts to collect a debt, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notification of her rights to dispute the debt and/or seek verification of the alleged debt, as well as providing her with the name of the original creditor and the amount of the debt.
- 24. To date, Plaintiff has never received any written correspondence from Defendant related to the alleged debt.

25. Defendant's actions in collecting a debt were abusive, harassing and deceptive.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 26. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d, 1692d(5) and 1692d(6).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. A debt collector violates §1692d(6) of the FDPCA by placing telephone calls without the meaningful disclosure of its identity.
 - d. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d, 1692d(5) and 1692d(6) by continuously calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone on average at least once a day, with the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass Plaintiff as well as calling Plaintiff and failing to disclose its true identity.

COUNT II

- 27. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(4), 1692e(5), 1692e(7), 1692e(10) and 1692e(14) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by falsely representing the character, amount or legal status of any debt.
 - c. A debt collector violates §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by falsely representing or implying that nonpayment of any debt will result in the garnishment of wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or creditor intends to take such action.
 - d. A debt collector violates §1692e(5) by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
 - e. Section 1692e(10) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from using any false representations or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.

- f. A debt collector violates §1692e(14) of the FDCPA by using any business, company or organization name other than the true name of the debt collector's business, company, or organization.
- g. Here, Defendant violated §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(4), 1692e(5), 1692e(10) and 1692e(14) of the FDCPA by threatening to garnish Plaintiff's wages if she did make a payment on the alleged debt and implying to take legal action against Plaintiff for nonpayment of the debt when it did not intend to take such actions; identifying itself as another company; and collecting an amount which Plaintiff did not owe.

COUNT III

- 28. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692f and 1692f(1) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692f(1) of the FDCPA by collecting an amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692f and 1692f(1) of the FDCPA

engaging in other unfair and unconscionable debt collection practices, including running Plaintiff's mother's credit report and telling Plaintiff that her mother had filed for bankruptcy and her non-payment of the debt would hurt her mother's credit score as well as collecting an amount more than what was owed on the account.

COUNT IV

- 29. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the consumer, within five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice containing: (1) the amount of the debt;
 (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed; (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;
 (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of

the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

b. Here, Defendant violated §1692g of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of his rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt or providing him with the name of the original creditor and the amount of the debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, LAUREN BOWNDS, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, LAUREN BOWNDS, demands a jury trial in this case. By: __ Date:

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL Attorney 4D No. 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com